



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/707,966

01/28/2004

Shou-Tsung Wang

MTKP0034USA

1965

27765

7590

10/20/2006

NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION

P.O. BOX 506

MERRIFIELD, VA 22116

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2618

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 10/707,966	Applicant(s) WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner Tuan H. Nguyen	Art Unit 2618	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 August 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response To Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments, see applicant's remarks, filed on 08/03/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1-11 under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Gu (US PUB. 2003/0072393) and Shu (U.S PAT. 7,039,382).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gu (US PUB. 2003/0072393) in view of Shu (U.S PAT. 7,039,382).

Consider claim 1, Gu teaches an analog demodulator used in a low-IF receiver, the analog demodulator comprising: a receiving circuit for receiving in-phase IF (intermediate frequency) signals and quadrature-phase IF signals (page 2 [0032]); a

Art Unit: 2618

reference source for providing a reference clock (page 3 [0040]); a local oscillator signal generator electrically connected to the reference source for transferring the frequency of the reference clock to a predetermined frequency (page 3 [0040]); and at least one mixer electrically connected to the local oscillator signal generator (page 2 [0032]).

Gu does not explicitly show that at least one first calibration device for reducing DC components of the in-phase IF signals and the quadrature-phase IF signals; and the at least one first calibration device for processing the pair of quadrature signals.

In the same field of endeavor, Shu teaches at least one first calibration device for reducing DC components of the in-phase IF signals and the quadrature-phase IF signals (col. 5 lines 9-17); and the at least one first calibration device for processing the pair of quadrature signals (col. 5 lines 9-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, at least one first calibration device for reducing DC components of the in-phase IF signals and the quadrature-phase IF signals; and the at least one first calibration device for processing the pair of quadrature signals, as taught by Shu, in order to provide a mixer design for radio transceivers, which includes a calibration scheme for reducing DC offsets caused by various non-ideal effects in the transceiver.

4. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gu (US PUB. 2003/0072393) in view of Shu (U.S PAT. 7,039,382) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wu et al. (U.S PAT. 6,987,966 hereinafter, "Wu").

Consider claim 2, Gu and Shu, in combination, fails to teaches each of the first calibration devices comprises a notch filter or a high pass filter.

However, Wu teaches each of the first calibration devices comprises a notch filter or a high pass filter (col. 48 lines 62-63 claim3).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Wu into view of Gu and Shu, in order to provide full integration of the transceiver onto a single IC for a low cost, low power, reliable and more compact solution.

Consider claim 3, Wu further teaches at least one second calibration device electrically connected to the corresponding mixer for reducing DC offset generated by the mixer (col. 25 lines 19-22).

Consider claim 4, Wu further teaches each of the second calibration devices comprises a controllable current mirror, wherein the controllable current mirror is used to transform the in-phase IF signals and the quadrature-phase IF signals into corresponding current signals and to adjust a bias current in an input circuit of the mixer equal to the corresponding current signals for reducing LO leakage generated when the in-phase IF signal and the quadrature-phase IF signal pass the mixer (col. 46 lines 51-67).

5. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gu (US PUB. 2003/0072393) in view of Wu et al. (U.S PAT. 6,987,966 hereinafter, "Wu").

Consider claim 5, Gu teaches an analog demodulator used in a low-IF receiver, the analog demodulator comprising: a receiving circuit for receiving a pair of quadrature signals (page 2 [0032]); a reference source for providing a reference clock (page 3 [0040]); a local oscillator signal generator electrically connected to the reference source for lowering the frequency of the reference clock to a predetermined frequency (page 3 [0040]); at least one mixer electrically connected to the local oscillator signal generator (page 2 [0032]) and the receiving circuit for respectively processing the pair of quadrature signals (page 2 [0032]).

Gu does not explicitly show that at least one second calibration device electrically connected to the corresponding mixer for erasing DC offset generated by the mixer.

In the same field of endeavor, Wu teaches at least one second calibration device electrically connected to the corresponding mixer for erasing DC offset generated by the mixer (col. 25 lines 19-22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, at least one second calibration device electrically connected to the corresponding mixer for erasing DC offset generated by the mixer, as taught by Wu, in order to provide full integration of the transceiver onto a single IC for a low cost, low power, reliable and more compact solution.

Consider claim 6, Wu further teaches each of the second calibration devices comprises a controllable current mirror, wherein the controllable current mirror is used to transform the pair of quadrature signals into corresponding current signals and to adjust a bias current in an input circuit of the mixer equal to the corresponding current signals for erasing LO leakage generated when the pair of quadrature signals pass the mixer (col. 46 lines 51-67).

6. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gu (US PUB. 2003/0072393) in view of Wu et al. (U.S PAT. 6,987,966 hereinafter, "Wu") and further in view of Shu (U.S PAT. 7,039,382).

Consider claim 7, Gu and Wu, in combination, fails to teaches at least one first calibration device for reducing DC components of the in-phase IF signals and the quadrature-phase IF signals.

However, Shu teaches at least one first calibration device for reducing DC components of the in-phase IF signals and the quadrature-phase IF signals (col. 5 lines 9-17).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Shu into view of Gu and Wu, in order to provide a mixer design for radio transceivers, which includes a calibration scheme for reducing DC offsets caused by various non-ideal effects in the transceiver.



Consider claim 8, Wu further teaches each of the first calibration devices comprises a notch filter or a high pass filter (col. 48 lines 62-63 claim3).

Consider claim 9, Gu teaches an analog demodulator used in a low-IF receiver, the analog demodulator being an image-rejected analog demodulator with image-rejection capability, the analog demodulator comprising: a receiving circuit for receiving a pair of quadrature IF (intermediate frequency) signals (page 2 [0032]); a reference source for providing a reference clock (page 3 [0040]); a local oscillator signal generator electrically connected to the reference source for transferring the frequency of the reference clock to a predetermined frequency (page 3 [0040]).

Gu does not explicitly show that a filtering device electrically connected to the local oscillator signal generator for reducing high-order harmonic components generated by the local oscillator signal generator.

In the same field of endeavor, Wu teaches a filtering device electrically connected to the local oscillator signal generator for reducing high-order harmonic components generated by the local oscillator signal generator (col. 25 lines 9-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, a filtering device electrically connected to the local oscillator signal generator for reducing high-order harmonic components generated by the local oscillator signal generator, as taught by Wu, in order to provide full integration of the transceiver onto a single IC for a low cost, low power, reliable and more compact solution.



Art Unit: 2618

Gu and Wu, in combination, fails to teaches at least one mixer electrically connected to the local oscillator signal generator and a calibration device for processing the pair of quadrature signals.

However, Shu teaches at least one mixer electrically connected to the local oscillator signal generator and a calibration device for processing the pair of quadrature signals (col. 5 lines 9-17).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Shu into view of Gu and Wu, in order to provide a mixer design for radio transceivers, which includes a calibration scheme for reducing DC offsets caused by various non-ideal effects in the transceiver.

Consider claim 10, Gu further teaches the image-rejection ability of the analog demodulator relies on whether the quadrature phase difference among four input signals of the local oscillator signal generator is 90 degrees and whether amplitudes of the four input signals of the local oscillator signal generator are the same (page 3 [0037]).

Consider claim 11, Gu further teaches the filtering device is a poly-phase filter, a low pass filter, or a digital filter (page 3 [0042]).

### ***Conclusion***

Art Unit: 2618

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop\_\_\_\_\_ (Explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22313

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-8329. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am - 5:00Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Maung Nay A. can be reached on (571) 272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2618

Information Consider the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Nguyen *T.N.*  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2618

*Quochien B. Vuong* 10/13/06  
QUOCHIEN B. VUONG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER